Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP78B04747A000200030088-1

29 October 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Logistics Branch/SSD/TSSG/NPIC	
	25X1A
SUBJECT: Excessive Delay in the Negotiation of a Contract	
of a Site Preparation for the Design	
of a Sice rieparation	
	25X1A
	25X1A
1. The purpose of this memorandum is to direct attention to	25X1A
a delayed lead time in negotiating a contract Site Preparation	
101 the design of the Site Freparation	, j
-2. A chronological sequence of events is as follows:	 25X1A
(-) 0 7-1- 10/0	25X1A
(a) 8 July 1968	25X1A
NPIC received concept drawings and a construction cost	25X1
estimate for the Site.	25X1A
(h) 11 Tulu 1060	25X IA
(b) <u>11 July 1968</u>	
Logistics Branch requested from PPBS, NPIC's fan account	
number for funding the site preparation. PPBS,	25X1A
requested more information on the cost estimate which was given was told we could not go forward with the de-	
sign phase until we received authority to spend the money.	25X1A
The first was an arranged to a first one managed to	
(c) <u>12 July 1968</u>	25X1A
Logistics Branch to draft a memorandum for	23X IA
the DDI and DDS concurrence and approval.	
(1) 07 7 1 10(0	
(d) <u>23 July 1968</u>	
A rough draft directed to the Chief, LSD/OL, through DDI	
and DDS requesting authorization to accomplish necessary altera-	
tions, was forwarded to the Chief, TSSG's office for editing, prio to final typing.	r
co illui cyping.	

Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP78B04747A000200030088-1

Approved For Release 2002/0029 . CIA-RDP78B04747A000200030088-1

(Page 2)

13 August 1968 (e)

A final memorandum directed to the Director of Logistics, through the DDI and DDS left NPIC. This memorandum signed by the Director of NPIC, requested that authorization be granted and a work request issued to GSA to accomplish alterations and modifications necessary to prepare the site. In addition, in paragraph one of this memorandum, it specifically stated that work should be completed by 15 August 1969, to meet the scheduled installation date for the equipment.

21 August 1968 (f)

The memorandum of 13 August 1968, requesting authori-Deputy zation to prepare the site, was concurred by Director of Intelligence.

4 September 1968 (g)

The memorandum of 13 August 1968, requesting approval for the site preparation, was approved by the Deputy Director for Support. A footnote appended to NPIC's return copy, stated that request for the site preparation was approved with the understanding that;

25X1A

25X1A

- 1. The work request for GSA should be issued only after preliminary drawings and specifications have been reviewed by RECD/OL, and the Design and Construction Division, Region 3, GSA.
- 2. That drawings and specifications mentioned in this memorandum (paragraph three) are actually preliminary and not final.

5 September 1968 (h)

25X1A

SCRET
Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDRZ8B04747A000200030088-1

(Page 3)

(i) <u>23 September 1968</u>	25X1A
A memorandum to the Chief, RECD/OL, from the Executive Officer, TSSG, requested RECD/OL to concur and engage to prepare drawings for the site. (j) 15 October 1968	25X1A
A courtesy copy of a memorandum addressed to the Chies LSD/OL, from the Chief, RECD/OL, was received in the Logistics Branch, NPIC. This memorandum approved the concept drawings referred in the footnote of the memorandum of 13 August 1968. Further, it stated that this office (RECD/OL) has no objection to the selection as the designer, and had in formally received the concurrence of GSA in this selection. Further, it stated a letter forwarding the work order to GSA should cite the reasons for the use as the designers of the site. In addition, an attachment to this memorandum contained a scope of work (paragraph four) to wit; the construction contract should provide for the completion of all contract work prior to 19 August 1969. In connection wit this requirement, a CPM network should be specified targeting site completion on that date.	- 25X1A
(k) 21 October 1968	25X1A
The Chief, BSS/SSD/TSSG, visited Langley this date to ascertain the status of negotiations of this contract between GSA The Chief, BSS/SSD/TSSG, visited Langley this date to ascertain the status of negotiations of the was told by this contract between GSA The Chief, BSS/SSD/TSSG, visited He was told by that the memorandum cited in paragraph (i), had bee received in LSD and action was being taken in the offices of Logistics Services Division.	n 25X1A
(1) 25 October 1968	25X1A
The Chief, BSS/SSD/TSSG, queried as to the status of the contract and was informed that DDS office, required further justification from RECD/OL, and that he had hand carried such information on that	25X1A
day.	25X1A
(m) <u>25 October 1968</u>	
Memorandum to GSA typed in office and so to the Chief, LSD/OL.	ent 25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP78B04747A000200 3008841 automatide and declaration and declaration SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/08/28: CIA-RDPZ8B04747A000200030088-1

(Page 4)

28 October 1968 (n)

25X1A

25X1A

Memorandum sent to Chief, LSD, to the Director of Logistics for his signature.

3. An analysis of the chronological order of events cited in paragraph two above, shows that it has taken 3 1/2 months to move paper work from the Logistics Branch through all of the channels we are required to go through for a simple contract to be negotiated with an outside contractor. As you are aware through past experiences, the average time for a site preparation through GSA bidding and sources, is approximately one year. We have constantly maintained that this site must be prepared, readied to receive equipment by August 1969. Our logical conclusion, therefore, would be that we are a minimum of 2 1/2 months behind time in our site preparation necessary to receive the equipment in August 1969.

4. As a result of this undue delay in moving paper work throughout the various established channels in NPIC, CIA and GSA, we can expect a costly overrun in the contract. It is a well known fact that a costly overrun in the contract. It is engaged solely in the ninety per cent of the personnel is engaged solely in the ninety per cent of the instrument in question. Their design and the fabrication of the instrument in question. Their labor cost per month which will have to be borne by NPIC labor cost per month which will have to be borne by NPIC for this 2 1/2 months delay in site preparation, because new technicians cannot be trained for this installation.				25X1/
				25X1A
				25X

30 1 Essilifac com autamatic